arXiv:1205.4508v2 [math.PR] 13 Nov 2012

Functional Inequalities for Stable-Like Dirichlet Forms*

Feng-Yu Wang$^{a),c)}$ **and Jian Wang**$^{b)}$

$^{a)}$School of Mathematical Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China

$^{b)}$School of Mathematics and Computer Science, Fujian Normal University, Fuzhou 350007, China

$^{c)}$Department of Mathematics, Swansea University, Singleton Park, SA2 8PP, United Kingdom

wangfy@bnu.edu.cn, F.-Y.Wang@swansea.ac.uk, jianwang@fjnu.edu.cn

March 4, 2013

Abstract

Let $V \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\mu_V(\mathrm{d}x) := \mathrm{e}^{-V(x)}\,\mathrm{d}x$ is a probability measure, and let $\alpha \in (0, 2)$. Explicit criteria are presented for the α-stable-like Dirichlet form

$$\mathscr{E}_{\alpha,V}(f, f) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}}\,\mathrm{d}y\,\mathrm{e}^{-V(x)}\,\mathrm{d}x$$

to satisfy Poincaré-type (i.e., Poincaré, weak Poincaré and super Poincaré) inequalities. As applications, sharp functional inequalities are derived for the Dirichlet form with V having some typical growths. Finally, the main result of [13] on the Poincaré inequality is strengthened.

AMS subject Classification: 60J75, 47G20, 60G52.
Keywords: Functional inequalities, stable-like Dirichlet forms, Lyapunov type conditions, subordination.

1 Introduction

Functional inequalities are powerful and efficient tools to analyze Markov semigroups and their generators, see e.g. [25] for a general theory of functional inequalities and applications. In particular, the Nash/Sobolev inequalities are corresponding to uniform heat

---

*Supported in part by Lab. Math. Com. Sys., NNSFC(11131003, 11126350 and 11201073), SRFDP, the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities and the Program for Excellent Young Talents and for New Century Excellent Talents in Universities of Fujian (No. JA10058, JA11051 and JA12053).

kernel upper bounds of the semigroup, the log-Sobolev inequality is equivalent to Nelson's hypercontractivity ([14]) of the semigroup, the super log-Sobolev inequality (also called the log-Sobolev inequality with parameter) is equivalent to the supercontractivity and in some cases implies the ultracontractivity of the semigroup, the Poincaré inequality is equivalent to the exponential convergence of the semigroup, and the weak Poincaré inequality characterizes various convergence rates of the semigroup slower than exponential, see e.g. [7, 11, 8, 15, 22] for details. As a general version of functional inequalities stronger than the Poincaré one, the super Poincaré inequality is equivalent to the uniform integrability of the semigroup, and also the absence of the essential spectrum of the generator if the semigroup has an asymptotic density, see [20, 21, 9, 23] for details.

To establish functional inequalities, many explicit criteria have been proved for diffusion processes and Markov chains, but rare is known for Lévy type jump processes. Of course, using subordination techniques, functional inequalities for a class of jump processes can be deduced from known ones of diffusion processes, see [2, 24, 19, 10] for details. However, in general it is difficult (and impossible in many cases) to identify a Lévy type jump process as subordination of a diffusion process. So, it is necessary to provide general criteria to verify functional inequalities for Lévy type jump processes. We remark that using harmonic analysis technique, a sufficient condition for the Poincaré inequality to hold, see (1.8) below, has been presented in [13]. As pointed out after Corollary 1.5 below, this condition excludes many typical examples which possess the even stronger super Poincaré inequality. The purpose of this paper is to find out sharp and easy to check sufficient conditions for general functional inequalities of stable-like jump processes.

To make the paper easy to follow, let us start with a simple example, i.e. the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process driven by the α-stable process. Let Δ be the Laplacian on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Consider the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator

$$L_\alpha f(x) := -(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} f(x) - \langle x, \nabla f(x)\rangle, \quad f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

for $\alpha \in (0,2)$. Then the associated Markov semigroup has a unique invariant (but not reversible, see [1]) probability measure μ_α, which is identified by the Fourier transformation

$$\hat{\mu}_\alpha(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathrm{e}^{i\langle x,\xi\rangle}\,\mu_\alpha(\mathrm{d}x) = \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}|\xi|^\alpha}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

For any $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the set of all smooth functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with compact support, we have (see [12, Proposition 4.1] or [16, (1.9)])

$$\mathscr{E}_\alpha(f,f) := -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f L_\alpha f \mathrm{d}\mu_\alpha = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|f(x)-f(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{d+\alpha}}\,\mathrm{d}y\mu_\alpha(\mathrm{d}x). \tag{1.1}$$

Let $\mathscr{D}(\mathscr{E}_\alpha) = \{f \in L^2(\mu_\alpha) : \ \mathscr{E}_\alpha(f,f) < \infty\}$. According to [16, Example 3.2(2)], the semigroup P_t^α generated by L_α is not hyperbounded, i.e. $\|P_t^\alpha\|_{L^p(\mu_\alpha)\to L^q(\mu_\alpha)} = \infty$ for any $t \geq 0$ and $q > p \geq 1$. Therefore, the log-Sobolev inequality of $\mathscr{E}_\alpha$ does not hold. In fact, since

$$\frac{1}{c(1+|x|^2)^{(d+\alpha)/2}}\,\mathrm{d}x \leq \mu_\alpha(\mathrm{d}x) \leq \frac{c}{(1+|x|^2)^{(d+\alpha)/2}}\,\mathrm{d}x \tag{1.2}$$

holds for some constant $c > 1$, see e.g. [3, Theorem 2.1] or [5, (1.5)], Corollary 1.2(2) below provides a stronger statement, i.e. the super Poincaré inequality is not available neither. Recall that the log-Sobolev inequality

$$\mu_\alpha(f^2 \log f^2) \le C\mathscr{E}_\alpha(f,f), \quad f \in \mathscr{D}(\mathscr{E}_\alpha), \mu_\alpha(f^2) = 1$$

holds for some constant $C > 0$ if and only if the super Poincaré inequality

$$\mu_\alpha(f^2) \le r\mathscr{E}_\alpha(f,f) + \exp\Big(c\big(1 + r^{-1}\big)\Big)\mu_\alpha(|f|)^2, \quad r > 0, f \in \mathscr{D}(\mathscr{E}_\alpha)$$

holds for some constant $c > 0$. On the other hand, Corollary 1.2(1) implies that the Poincaré inequality

$$\mu_\alpha(f^2) \le C\mathscr{E}_\alpha(f,f), \quad f \in \mathscr{D}(\mathscr{E}_\alpha), \mu_\alpha(f) = 0$$

holds for some constant $C > 0$, which has been open for a long time. Therefore, for this typical example, the best possibility among functional inequalities mentioned above is the Poincaré inequality.

Now, as a generalization of (1.1), we consider

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{E}_{\alpha,V}(f,g) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{(f(x)-f(y))(g(x)-g(y))}{|x-y|^{d+\alpha}}\,\mathrm{d}y\mu_V(\mathrm{d}x), \\ \mathscr{D}(\mathscr{E}_{\alpha,V}) &:= \Big\{f \in L^2(\mu_V):\ \mathscr{E}_{\alpha,V}(f,f) < \infty\Big\}, \end{aligned}$$

where V is a measurable function on $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$\mu_V(\mathrm{d}x) := \frac{1}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathrm{e}^{-V(x)}\mathrm{d}x}\,\mathrm{e}^{-V(x)}\mathrm{d}x$$

is a probability measure. Then $(\mathscr{E}_{\alpha,V}, \mathscr{D}(\mathscr{E}_{\alpha,V}))$ is a symmetric Dirichlet form on $L^2(\mu_V)$. Let $P_t^{\alpha,V}$ be the associated Markov semigroup. Let

$$\begin{aligned} &h(r) = \inf_{|x|\le r} \mathrm{e}^{V(x)}, \quad H(r) = \sup_{|x|\le r} \mathrm{e}^{V(x)}, \\ &\Phi(r) = \inf_{|x|\ge r} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{V(x)}}{(1+|x|)^{d+\alpha}}, \quad \Phi^{-1}(r) = \inf\big\{s \ge 0:\ \Phi(s) \ge r\big\}, \quad r > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we set $\inf\emptyset = \infty$ by convention. Moreover, let

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_1(r) &= \left(\sup_{|x|\le r} \frac{(1+|x|)^{d+\alpha}}{\mathrm{e}^{V(x)}}\right) \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{V(x)}}{(1+|x|)^{d+\alpha}}, \\ \Psi_2(r) &= \frac{1}{\mu_V(B(0,r))^2} \sup_{x\in B(0,r)} \int_{B(0,r)} |y-x|^{d+\alpha}\mathrm{e}^{-2V(y)}\mathrm{d}y, \quad r > 0. \end{aligned}$$

The main result of the paper is the following

Theorem 1.1. *Let* $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathrm{e}^{-V(x)}\mathrm{d}x < \infty$ *such that* μ_V *is a well defined probability measure.*

1. *If* $\mathrm{e}^{-V} \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *such that*

$$\limsup_{r\to\infty}\Big\{r^{d+\alpha-1}\sup_{|x|\ge r-1}|\nabla \mathrm{e}^{-V}(x)| + r^{d+\alpha-2}\sup_{|x|\ge r-1}\mathrm{e}^{-V(x)}\Big\} = 0 \tag{1.3}$$

and $\Phi(0) > 0$, *then the Poincaré inequality*

$$\mu_V(f^2) \le C\mathscr{E}_{\alpha,V}(f,f), \quad f \in \mathscr{D}(\mathscr{E}_{\alpha,V}), \mu_V(f) = 0 \tag{1.4}$$

holds for some constant $C > 0$.

2. *If* $\mathrm{e}^{-V} \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *such that* (1.3) *holds and* $\Phi(r) \uparrow \infty$ *as* $r \uparrow \infty$, *then there exist constants* $c_1, c_2 > 0$ *such that the super Poincaré inequality*

$$\mu_V(f^2) \le r\mathscr{E}_{\alpha,V}(f,f) + \beta(r)\mu_V(|f|)^2, \quad r > 0, f \in \mathscr{D}(\mathscr{E}_{\alpha,V}) \tag{1.5}$$

holds for

$$\beta(r) = c_1\Big(1 + r^{-d/\alpha}\big\{h\circ\Phi^{-1}(c_2r^{-1})\big\}^{-1-d/\alpha}\big\{H\circ\Phi^{-1}(c_2r^{-1})\big\}^{2+d/\alpha}\Big), \quad r > 0.$$

3. *There exists a universal constant* $c > 0$ *such that the weak Poincaré inequality*

$$\mu_V(f^2) \le \tilde{\beta}(r)\mathscr{E}_{\alpha,V}(f,f) + r\|f\|_\infty^2, \quad r > 0, f \in \mathscr{D}(\mathscr{E}_{\alpha,V}), \mu_V(f) = 0 \tag{1.6}$$

holds for

$$\tilde{\beta}(r) := \inf\Big\{\big(c\Psi_1(R)\big)\wedge\Psi_2(R): \ \mu_V(B(0,R)^c) \le \frac{r}{1+r}\Big\} < \infty, \quad r > 0.$$

Although we assume in Theorem 1.1(1)-(2) that e^{-V} is at least C^2-smooth, the assertions work also for singular case by using perturbation results of functional inequalities. To illustrate this result, below we consider some typical families of V with different type growths: for faster growth of V one derives stronger functional inequality. When we apply Theorem 1.1(3) to derive weak Poincaré inequalities for these families of V, the function Ψ_1 in the definition of $\tilde{\beta}$ is better than Ψ_2. On the other hand, however, Ψ_2 is always finite but in some cases Ψ_1 is infinite. So, in general these two functions are not comparable.

According to (1.2), in the following result μ_V is a natural extension to μ_α, i.e. when $\varepsilon = \alpha$ a Poincaré type inequality for $\mathscr{E}_{\alpha,V}$ and μ_V is equivalent to that for $\mathscr{E}_\alpha$ and μ_α. In particular, as mentioned above, this result implies that $\mathscr{E}_\alpha$ satisfies the Poincaré inequality but not the super Poincaré inequality.

Corollary 1.2. *Let* $V(x) = \frac{1}{2}(d+\varepsilon)\log(1+|x|^2)$, $\varepsilon > 0$.

1. *The Poincaré inequality* (1.4) *holds for some constant* $C > 0$ *if and only if* $\varepsilon \ge \alpha$.

(2) *The super Poincaré inequality* (1.5) *holds for some function* $\beta : (0,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$ *if and only if* $\varepsilon > \alpha$, *and in this case there exists a constant* $c > 0$ *such that the inequality holds with*

$$\beta(r) = c\Big(1 + r^{-\frac{d}{\alpha} - \frac{(d+\varepsilon)(2\alpha+d)}{\alpha(\varepsilon-\alpha)}}\Big), \quad r > 0,$$

and equivalently,

$$\|P_t^{\alpha,V}\|_{L^1(\mu_V)\to L^\infty(\mu_V)} \le \lambda\Big(1 + t^{-\frac{d}{\alpha} - \frac{(d+\varepsilon)(2\alpha+d)}{\alpha(\varepsilon-\alpha)}}\Big), \quad r > 0$$

holds for some constant $\lambda > 0$.

(3) *If* $\varepsilon \in (0,\alpha)$, *then there exists a constant* $c > 0$ *such that the weak Poincaré inequality* (1.6) *holds for*

$$\tilde\beta(r) = c\Big(1 + r^{-(\alpha-\varepsilon)/\varepsilon}\Big), \quad r > 0.$$

Consequently, there exists a constant $\lambda > 0$ *such that*

$$\|P_t^{\alpha,V} - \mu_V\|^2_{L^\infty(\mu_V)\to L^2(\mu_V)} \le \frac{\lambda}{t^{\varepsilon/(\alpha-\varepsilon)}}, \quad t > 0.$$

This $\tilde\beta$ *is sharp in the sense that* (1.6) *does not hold if* $\lim_{r\to 0} r^{(\alpha-\varepsilon)/\varepsilon}\tilde\beta(r) = 0$.

Since $\varepsilon = \alpha$ in Corollary 1.2 is the critical situation for the Poincaré inequality, we consider below lower order perturbations of the corresponding V.

Corollary 1.3. *Let* $V(x) = \frac{1}{2}(d+\alpha)\log(1+|x|^2) + \varepsilon\log\log(\mathrm{e}+|x|^2),\ \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$.

(1) *The super Poincaré inequality* (1.5) *holds for some* β *if and only if* $\varepsilon > 0$, *and in this case it holds with*

$$\beta(r) = \exp\Big[c\Big(1 + r^{-1/\varepsilon}\Big)\Big]$$

for some constant $c > 0$, *so that when* $\varepsilon > 1$,

$$\|P_t^{\alpha,V}\|_{L^1(\mu_V)\to L^\infty(\mu_V)} \le \exp\Big[\lambda\Big(1 + t^{-1/(\varepsilon-1)}\Big)\Big], \quad t > 0$$

holds for some constant $\lambda > 0$.

(2) *The super Poincaré inequality in* (1) *is sharp in the sense that* (1.5) *does not hold if*

$$\lim_{r\to 0} r^{1/\varepsilon}\log\beta(r) = 0.$$

(3) *The log-Sobolev inequality*

$$\mu_V(f^2\log f^2) \le C\mathscr{E}_{\alpha,V}(f,f), \quad f \in \mathscr{D}(\mathscr{E}_{\alpha,V}), \mu_V(f^2) = 1 \tag{1.7}$$

holds for some constant $C > 0$ *if and only if* $\varepsilon \ge 1$.

(4) *The Poincaré inequality* (1.4) *holds for some constant* $C > 0$ *if and only if* $\varepsilon \geq 0$, *and there exists a universal constant* $c > 0$ *such that for* $\varepsilon < 0$ *the weak Poincaré inequality* (1.6) *holds with*

$$\widetilde{\beta}(r) = c\Big(1 + \log^{-\varepsilon}\left(1 + r^{-1}\right)\Big), \quad r > 0.$$

Consequently, for $\varepsilon < 0$ *there exist constants* $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$ *such that*

$$\|P_t^{\alpha,V} - \mu_V\|_{L^\infty(\mu_V)\to L^2(\mu_V)} \leq \exp\Big[\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 t^{1/(1-\varepsilon)}\Big], \quad t > 0.$$

This $\tilde{\beta}$ *is sharp in the sense that for* $\varepsilon < 0$ *the weak Poincaré inequality* (1.6) *does not hold if* $\lim_{r\to 0} \tilde{\beta}(r) \log^{\varepsilon}(1 + r^{-1}) = 0$.

Below we consider a family of V with slower growth such that μ_V is a probability measure, for which merely the weak Poincaré inequality is available.

Corollary 1.4. *Let* $V(x) = \frac{d}{2}\log(1 + |x|^2) + \varepsilon \log\log(\mathrm{e} + |x|^2), \quad \varepsilon > 1$. *Then there exist some constants* $c_1, c_2 > 0$ *such that the weak Poincaré inequality* (1.6) *holds with*

$$\widetilde{\beta}(r) = c_1 \exp\Big[c_2 r^{-1/(\varepsilon-1)}\Big].$$

Consequently, there exists some constant $\lambda > 0$ *such that*

$$\|P_t^{\alpha,V} - \mu_V\|_{L^\infty(\mu_V)\to L^2(\mu_V)} \leq \lambda\Big[\log(1 + t)\Big]^{1-\varepsilon}, \quad t > 0.$$

This $\tilde{\beta}$ *is sharp in the sense that the weak Poincaré inequality* (1.6) *does not hold if* $\lim_{r\to 0} r^{1/(\varepsilon-1)} \log \tilde{\beta}(r) = 0$.

Finally, we consider two families of V with stronger growths than all those presented above, so that the rather stronger super Poincaré inequality is available.

Corollary 1.5. (1) *Let* $V(x) = \log^{1+\varepsilon}(1+|x|^2)$, $\varepsilon > 0$. *Then there exists a constant* $c > 0$ *such that* (1.5) *holds for*

$$\beta(r) = c + cr^{-2(\alpha+d)/\alpha} \exp\left[c \log^{1/(1+\varepsilon)}(1 + r^{-1})\right], \quad r > 0.$$

Consequently, there exists a constant $\lambda > 0$ *such that*

$$\|P_t^{\alpha,V}\|_{L^1(\mu_V)\to L^\infty(\mu_V)} \leq \lambda + \lambda t^{-2(\alpha+d)/\alpha} \exp\left[\lambda \log^{1/(1+\varepsilon)}(1 + t^{-1})\right], \quad t > 0.$$

(2) *Let* $V(x) = (1 + |x|^2)^{\varepsilon}, \quad \varepsilon > 0$. *Then there exists a constant* $c > 0$ *such that the super Poincaré inequality* (1.5) *holds for*

$$\beta(r) = c\Big(1 + r^{-2(\alpha+d)/\alpha} \log^{(2\alpha+d)(d+\alpha)/(2\varepsilon\alpha)}(1 + r^{-1})\Big), \quad r > 0,$$

and consequently,

$$\|P_t^{\alpha,V}\|_{L^1(\mu_V)\to L^\infty(\mu_V)} \leq \lambda\Big(1 + t^{-2(\alpha+d)/\alpha} \log^{(2\alpha+d)(d+\alpha)/(2\varepsilon\alpha)}(1 + t^{-1})\Big), \quad t > 0$$

holds for some constant $\lambda > 0$.

We remark that the following sufficient condition for $\mathscr{E}_{\alpha,V}$ to satisfy the Poincaré inequality has been presented in [13]: $V \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

$$\lim_{|x|\to\infty} \big\{\delta|\nabla V|^2 - \Delta V\big\} = \infty \ \text{ for some constant } \delta \in (0, 1/2). \tag{1.8}$$

Obviously, this condition does not hold for V in Corollaries 1.2-1.5(1). In the situation of Corollary 1.5(2), (1.8) holds if and only if $\varepsilon > \frac{1}{2}$. In this case, using the argument of [13], we are able to confirm the super Poincaré inequality for (see Theorem 5.1 below)

$$\beta(r) = \exp\Big[c\Big(1 + r^{-2\varepsilon/(\alpha(2\varepsilon-1))}\Big)\Big], \quad r > 0$$

for some constant $c > 0$, which is however much worse than the one given in Corollary 1.4(2).

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on Lyapunov type conditions considered in [4]. To verify these conditions, we first characterize in Section 2 the infinitesimal generator of $(\mathscr{E}_{\alpha,V}, \mathscr{D}(\mathscr{E}_{\alpha,V}))$, then present complete proofs of the above results in Section 3 and Section 4. Finally, in Section 5 we present a result on the super Poincaré inequality using a weaker version of condition (1.8) by allowing δ to approach 1, such that the main result in [13] on the Poincaré inequality is strengthened.

2 The infinitesimal generator of $\mathscr{E}_{\alpha,V}$

We first introduce some facts concerning the Dirichlet form and generator of the α-stable process. Let

$$\mathscr{C}_\alpha = \big\{f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d) : \ \|\nabla f\|_\infty < \infty, |f| \le C(1 + |\cdot|^r) \text{ holds for some } C > 0, r \in (0, \alpha)\big\}.$$

For any $f \in \mathscr{C}_\alpha$, there exist constants $C > 0$ and $r \in (0, \alpha)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x+z) - f(x) - \langle\nabla f, z\rangle 1_{\{|z|\le1\}}| \frac{1}{|z|^{d+\alpha}} \\ \le \frac{\sup_{B(x,1)} \|\nabla^2 f\|}{|z|^{d+\alpha-2}} 1_{\{|z|\le1\}} + \frac{C(1 + |x|^r + |z|^r + 1)}{|z|^{d+\alpha}} 1_{\{|z|>1\}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then for $f \in \mathscr{C}_\alpha$,

$$-(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} f := C_\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Big(f(\cdot + z) - f - \langle\nabla f, z\rangle 1_{\{|z|\le1\}}\Big) \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{|z|^{d+\alpha}} \tag{2.1}$$

is a well-defined locally bounded measurable function, where $C_\alpha > 0$ is a constant such that (see [18, Example 32.7]),

$$\frac{2}{C_\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Big(f\,(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} g\Big)(x)\,\mathrm{d}x = \mathscr{E}_\alpha^{(0)}(f, g), \quad f, g \in C_0^2(\mathbb{R}^d), \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$\mathscr{E}_\alpha^{(0)}(f,g) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{(f(x)-f(y))(g(x)-g(y))}{|x-y|^{d+\alpha}}\,\mathrm{d}y\,\mathrm{d}x.$$

Next, for $f \in C_0^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $g \in \mathscr{C}_\alpha$, there exist constants $C, R > 0$ and $r \in (0,\alpha)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}|f(x)-f(y)|&\cdot|g(x)-g(y)|\\ &\le C|x-y|^2 1_{\{|x-y|\le R\}} + C(|x|^r+|y|^r+1)1_{(\mathrm{supp} f\times \mathrm{supp} f)^c}(x,y)1_{\{|x-y|>R\}},\end{aligned}$$

so that $\mathscr{E}_\alpha^{(0)}(f,g)\in\mathbb{R}$ is well-defined.

Moreover, since for any function $g \in \mathscr{C}_\alpha$ there exist $\{g_n\}_{n\ge1} \subset C_0^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\|\nabla g_n\|_\infty \le C, |g_n| \le C(1+|\cdot|^r)$ holds for some constants $C > 0$ and $r \in (0,\alpha)$, and that $g_n \to g, \nabla g_n \to \nabla g$ and $\nabla^2 g_n \to \nabla^2 g$ uniformly on compact sets, (2.2) implies that

$$\frac{2}{C_\alpha}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Big(f\,(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} g\Big)(x)\,\mathrm{d}x = \mathscr{E}_\alpha^{(0)}(f,g), \quad f\in C_0^2(\mathbb{R}^d), g\in\mathscr{C}_\alpha. \tag{2.3}$$

Finally, if $\mathrm{e}^{-V} \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $g \in \mathscr{C}_\alpha$, then

$$|g(x)-g(y)|\cdot|\mathrm{e}^{-V(x)}-\mathrm{e}^{-V(y)}| \le C|x-y|^2 1_{\{|x-y|\le1\}} + \frac{C(1+|x|^r+|y|^r)}{|x-y|^{d+\alpha}}1_{\{|x-y|>1\}}$$

holds for some constant $C > 0$ and $r \in (0,\alpha)$. Therefore, in conclusion, if $\mathrm{e}^{-V} \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $g, g\mathrm{e}^{-V} \in \mathscr{C}_\alpha$, then

$$\begin{aligned}L_{\alpha,V}g :=& \frac{2}{C_\alpha}\Big(g\mathrm{e}^V(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}\mathrm{e}^{-V} - \mathrm{e}^V(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}(\mathrm{e}^{-V}g)\Big)\\ &- \mathrm{e}^V\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\frac{(g-g(y))(\mathrm{e}^{-V}-\mathrm{e}^{-V(y)})}{|\cdot-y|^{d+\alpha}}\,\mathrm{d}y\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

gives rise to a locally bounded measurable function.

Proposition 2.1. *Assume that* $\mathrm{e}^{-V} \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. *For any* $f \in C_0^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *and* $g \in \mathscr{C}_\alpha$ *such that* $\mathrm{e}^{-V}g \in \mathscr{C}_\alpha$,

$$\mathscr{E}_{\alpha,V}(f,g) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f L_{\alpha,V} g\,\mathrm{d}\mu_V.$$

Proof. Since $f\mathrm{e}^V, fg\mathrm{e}^V \in C_0^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mathrm{e}^{-V}g, \mathrm{e}^{-V} \in \mathscr{C}_\alpha$, it follows from (2.3) that

$$\begin{aligned}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} fL_{\alpha,V}g\,\mathrm{d}\mu_V =& \frac{2}{C_\alpha}\int f\mathrm{e}^V(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}(\mathrm{e}^{-V}g)\,\mathrm{d}\mu_V - \frac{2}{C_\alpha}\int fg\mathrm{e}^V(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}\mathrm{e}^{-V}\,\mathrm{d}\mu_V\\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d}\frac{f(x)(g(x)-g(y))(\mathrm{e}^{-V(x)}-\mathrm{e}^{-V(y)})}{|x-y|^{d+\alpha}}\,\mathrm{d}y\,\mathrm{d}x\\ =& \,\mathscr{E}_\alpha^{(0)}(\mathrm{e}^{-V}g,f) - \mathscr{E}_\alpha^{(0)}(fg,\mathrm{e}^{-V})\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&+\int_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d}\frac{f(x)(g(x)-g(y))(\mathrm{e}^{-V(x)}-\mathrm{e}^{-V(y)})}{|x-y|^{d+\alpha}}\,\mathrm{d}y\,\mathrm{d}x\\
&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d}\frac{1}{|x-y|^{d+\alpha}}\times\Big\{\big(\mathrm{e}^{-V(x)}g(x)-\mathrm{e}^{-V(y)}g(y)\big)\big(f(x)-f(y)\big)\\
&\qquad\qquad-\big((fg)(x)-(fg)(y)\big)\big(\mathrm{e}^{-V(x)}-\mathrm{e}^{-V(y)}\big)\\
&\qquad\qquad+f(x)\big(g(x)-g(y)\big)\big(\mathrm{e}^{-V(x)}-\mathrm{e}^{-V(y)}\big)\Big\}\,\mathrm{d}y\,\mathrm{d}x\\
&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d}\frac{(f(x)-f(y))(g(x)-g(y))}{|x-y|^{d+\alpha}}\mathrm{d}y\,\mathrm{e}^{-V(x)}\,\mathrm{d}x\\
&=\mathscr{E}_{\alpha,V}(f,g).
\end{aligned}$$

□

According to Proposition 2.1, $(\mathscr{E}_{\alpha,V}, C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$ is closable and it is easy to see that its closure coincides with $(\mathscr{E}_{\alpha,V}, \mathscr{D}(\mathscr{E}_{\alpha,V}))$. Moreover, combining (2.1) with (2.4), we obtain the following result with explicit expression of $L_{\alpha,V}$.

Proposition 2.2. *Assume that* $\mathrm{e}^{-V}\in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. *For any* $f\in\mathscr{C}_\alpha$ *such that* $f\mathrm{e}^{-V}\in\mathscr{C}_\alpha$,

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{\alpha,V}f(x)=&\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Big(f(x+z)-f(x)-\langle\nabla f(x),z\rangle 1_{\{|z|\le 1\}}\Big)\frac{1+\mathrm{e}^{V(x)-V(x+z)}}{|z|^{d+\alpha}}\,\mathrm{d}z\\
&+\int_{\{|z|\le 1\}}\langle\nabla f(x),z\rangle\Big(\mathrm{e}^{V(x)-V(x+z)}-1\Big)\frac{\mathrm{d}z}{|z|^{d+\alpha}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By (2.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{\alpha,V,1}f(x):=&\frac{2}{C_\alpha}\Big(f(x)\mathrm{e}^{V(x)}(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}\mathrm{e}^{-V}(x)-\mathrm{e}^{V(x)}(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}(\mathrm{e}^{-V}f)(x)\Big)\\
=&2\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Big(f(x+z)-f(x)-\langle\nabla f(x),z\rangle 1_{\{|z|\le 1\}}\Big)\frac{\mathrm{e}^{V(x)-V(x+z)}}{|z|^{d+\alpha}}\,\mathrm{d}z\\
&+2\int_{\{|z|\le 1\}}\langle\nabla f(x),z\rangle\Big(\mathrm{e}^{V(x)-V(x+z)}-1\Big)\frac{\mathrm{d}z}{|z|^{d+\alpha}}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{\alpha,V,2}f(x):=&\mathrm{e}^{V(x)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\frac{(f(x)-f(y))(\mathrm{e}^{-V(x)}-\mathrm{e}^{-V(y)})}{|x-y|^{d+\alpha}}\,\mathrm{d}y\\
=&\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Big(f(y)-f(x)\Big)\frac{e^{-V(y)+V(x)}-1}{|y-x|^{d+\alpha}}\,\mathrm{d}y\\
=&\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\Bigg[\int_{|z|\ge\varepsilon}\Big(f(x+z)-f(x)-\langle\nabla f(x),z\rangle 1_{\{|z|\le 1\}}\Big)\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-V(x+z)+V(x)}-1}{|z|^{d+\alpha}}\,\mathrm{d}z\\
&+\int_{|z|\ge\varepsilon}\langle\nabla f(x),z\rangle 1_{\{|z|\le 1\}}\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-V(x+z)+V(x)}-1}{|z|^{d+\alpha}}\,\mathrm{d}z\Bigg]
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Big(f(x+z) - f(x) - \langle \nabla f(x), z\rangle 1_{\{|z|\le 1\}}\Big) \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-V(x+z)+V(x)} - 1}{|z|^{d+\alpha}} \,\mathrm{d}z \\
&\qquad + \int_{\{|z|\le 1\}} \langle \nabla f(x), z\rangle \Big(\mathrm{e}^{-V(x+z)+V(x)} - 1\Big) \frac{1}{|z|^{d+\alpha}} \,\mathrm{d}z.
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining both equalities above with (2.4), we prove the desired assertion. □

Finally, the following result confirms the Lyapunov condition used in [4] for the study of super Poincaré inequalities.

Proposition 2.3. *Assume* $\mathrm{e}^{-V} \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *and that* (1.3) *holds. Let* $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1\wedge\alpha)$ *and let* $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *such that* $\phi(x) = 1 + |x|^{\alpha_0}$ *for* $|x| \ge 1$. *Then* $\mathrm{e}^{-V}, \phi, \phi\mathrm{e}^{-V} \in \mathscr{C}_\alpha$. *If moreover* $\Phi(0) > 0$, *then there exist constants* $r_0, C_1, C_2 > 0$ *such that*

$$
L_{\alpha,V}\phi(x) \le -C_1 \Phi(|x|)\phi(x) + C_2 1_{\{|x|\le r_0\}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.
$$

Proof. By (1.3) and the choice of ϕ, it is easy to see that $\phi, \mathrm{e}^{-V}\phi \in \mathscr{C}_\alpha$. Since $L_{\alpha,V}\phi$ is locally bounded, we only need to verify the conclusion for $|x|$ large enough.

Using the facts that $2\langle x, z\rangle = |x+z|^2 - |x|^2 - |z|^2$ for all x, $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and $b^{\alpha_0} - a^{\alpha_0} \le \alpha_0 a^{\alpha_0 - 1}(b-a)$ for any a, $b \ge 0$, we get that for $|x|$ large enough,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\{|z|\le 1\}} \Big(\phi(x+z) - \phi(x) - \langle \nabla\phi(x), z\rangle\Big) \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{|z|^{d+\alpha}} \\
&\le \alpha_0 |x|^{\alpha_0 - 1} \int_{\{|z|\le 1\}} \Big(|x+z| - |x| - \frac{\langle x, z\rangle}{|x|}\Big) \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{|z|^{d+\alpha}} \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\alpha_0 |x|^{\alpha_0 - 2} \int_{\{|z|\le 1\}} \Big(2|x+z|\cdot|x| - 2|x|^2 - |x+z|^2 + |x|^2 + |z|^2\Big) \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{|z|^{d+\alpha}} \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\alpha_0 |x|^{\alpha_0 - 2} \int_{\{|z|\le 1\}} \Big(|z|^2 - (|x| - |x+z|)^2\Big) \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{|z|^{d+\alpha}} \\
&\le \frac{1}{2}\alpha_0 |x|^{\alpha_0 - 2} \int_{\{|z|\le 1\}} \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{|z|^{d+\alpha-2}} \\
&\le 1.
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $c_1 = \sup_{|z|\le 1} \phi(z)$. Then $\phi(x) \le c_1 + 1 + |x|^{\alpha_0}$ holds for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Combining this with $\phi(x) = 1 + |x|^{\alpha_0}$ for $|x| \ge 1$, and the triangle inequality $(a+b)^{\alpha_0} \le a^{\alpha_0} + b^{\alpha_0}$ for a, $b \ge 0$, we obtain that for $|x|$ large enough

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\{|z|>1\}} \Big(\phi(x+z) - \phi(x)\Big) \frac{1}{|z|^{d+\alpha}} \,\mathrm{d}z \\
&\le \int_{\{|z|>1\}} \Big(c_1 + |x+z|^{\alpha_0} - |x|^{\alpha_0}\Big) \frac{1}{|z|^{d+\alpha}} \,\mathrm{d}z \\
&\le \int_{\{|z|>1\}} \Big(c_1 + |z|^{\alpha_0}\Big) \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{|z|^{d+\alpha}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$=: c_2 < \infty.$$

Therefore, for $|x|$ large enough,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Big(\phi(x+z) - \phi(x) - \nabla\phi(x)\cdot z 1_{\{|z|\le 1\}}\Big) \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{|z|^{d+\alpha}} \le 1 + c_2. \tag{2.5}$$

Next, since $|x+z|^{\alpha_0} - |x|^{\alpha_0} \le |z|^{\alpha_0}$, and for large enough $|x|$,

$$1_{\{|x+z|\le|x|\}}\Big(|x+z|^{\alpha_0} - |x|^{\alpha_0}\Big) \le 1_{\{|x+z|\le 1\}}\Big(|x+z|^{\alpha_0} - |x|^{\alpha_0}\Big),$$

$$\sup_{|z|\ge|x|} \mathrm{e}^{-V(z)} \le \frac{1}{\Phi(0)(1+|x|)^{d+\alpha}},$$

there exists a constant $c_3 > 0$ such that for $|x|$ large enough,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\{|z|>1\}} \Big(\phi(x+z) - \phi(x)\Big) \frac{\mathrm{e}^{V(x)-V(x+z)}}{|z|^{d+\alpha}}\,\mathrm{d}z\\
&\le \mathrm{e}^{V(x)} \int_{\{|z|>1\}} \Big(c_1 + |x+z|^{\alpha_0} - |x|^{\alpha_0}\Big) \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-V(x+z)}}{|z|^{d+\alpha}}\,\mathrm{d}z\\
&\le \int_{\{|z|>1, |x+z|\le|x|\}} \Big(c_1 + |x+z|^{\alpha_0} - |x|^{\alpha_0}\Big) \frac{\mathrm{e}^{V(x)-V(x+z)}}{|z|^{d+\alpha}}\,\mathrm{d}z\\
&\quad + \int_{\{|z|>1, |x+z|>|x|\}} \Big(c_1 + |z|^{\alpha_0}\Big) \frac{\mathrm{e}^{V(x)-V(x+z)}}{|z|^{d+\alpha}}\,\mathrm{d}z\\
&\le \int_{\{|z|>1, |x+z|\le 1\}} \Big(c_1 + |x+z|^{\alpha_0} - |x|^{\alpha_0}\Big) \frac{\mathrm{e}^{V(x)-V(x+z)}}{|z|^{d+\alpha}}\,\mathrm{d}z\\
&\quad + \int_{\{|z|>1, |x+z|>|x|\}} \Big(c_1 + |z|^{\alpha_0}\Big) \frac{\mathrm{e}^{V(x)-V(x+z)}}{|z|^{d+\alpha}}\,\mathrm{d}z\\
&\le \mathrm{e}^{V(x)}\Big(\inf_{|z|\le 1} \mathrm{e}^{-V(z)}\Big) \int_{\{|z|>1, |x+z|\le 1\}} \Big(c_1 + 1 - |x|^{\alpha_0}\Big) \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{|z|^{d+\alpha}}\\
&\quad + \mathrm{e}^{V(x)}\Big(\sup_{|z|\ge|x|} \mathrm{e}^{-V(z)}\Big)\left[c_1 \int_{\{|z|>1, |x+z|>|x|\}} \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{|z|^{d+\alpha}} + \int_{\{|z|>1, |x+z|>|x|\}} \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{|z|^{d+\alpha-\alpha_0}}\right]\\
&\le -\frac{\mathrm{e}^{V(x)}|x|^{\alpha_0}}{2}\Big(\inf_{|z|\le 1} \mathrm{e}^{-V(z)}\Big) \int_{\{|z|>1, |x+z|\le 1\}} \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{|z|^{d+\alpha}}\\
&\quad + \frac{\mathrm{e}^{V(x)}}{(1+|x|)^{d+\alpha}\Phi(0)}\left[c_1 \int_{\{|z|>1\}} \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{|z|^{d+\alpha}} + \int_{\{|z|>1\}} \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{|z|^{d+\alpha-\alpha_0}}\right]\\
&\le -c_3 \frac{\mathrm{e}^{V(x)}}{(1+|x|)^{d+\alpha}} |x|^{\alpha_0}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using again the facts that $2\langle x, z\rangle = |x+z|^2 - |x|^2 - |z|^2$ for all x, $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and $b^{\alpha_0} - a^{\alpha_0} \le \alpha_0 a^{\alpha_0 - 1}(b-a)$ for any a, $b \ge 0$, we see that for $|x|$ large enough,

$$\int_{\{|z|\le 1\}} \Big(\phi(x+z) - \phi(x) - \langle\nabla\phi(x), z\rangle\Big) \frac{\mathrm{e}^{V(x)-V(x+z)}}{|z|^{d+\alpha}}\,\mathrm{d}z$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \alpha_0|x|^{\alpha_0-1}\int_{\{|z|\leq 1\}}\Big(|x+z|-|x|-\frac{\langle x,z\rangle}{|x|}\Big)\frac{\mathrm{e}^{V(x)-V(x+z)}}{|z|^{d+\alpha}}\,\mathrm{d}z\\
&=\frac{1}{2}\alpha_0|x|^{\alpha_0-2}\int_{\{|z|\leq 1\}}\Big(|z|^2-(|x|-|x+z|)^2\Big)\frac{\mathrm{e}^{V(x)-V(x+z)}}{|z|^{d+\alpha}}\,\mathrm{d}z\\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\alpha_0\Big(\sup_{|z|\leq 1}\mathrm{e}^{-V(x+z)}\Big)|x|^{\alpha_0-2}\mathrm{e}^{V(x)}\int_{\{|z|\leq 1\}}\frac{\mathrm{d}z}{|z|^{d+\alpha-2}}\\
&=\left[\frac{1}{2}\alpha_0\Big(\int_{\{|z|\leq 1\}}\frac{\mathrm{d}z}{|z|^{d+\alpha-2}}\Big)\Big(\sup_{|z|\geq|x|-1}\mathrm{e}^{-V(z)}\Big)|x|^{d+\alpha-2}\right]\times\frac{\mathrm{e}^{V(x)}|x|^{\alpha_0}}{|x|^{d+\alpha}}\\
&\leq\frac{c_3}{2}\frac{\mathrm{e}^{V(x)}}{(1+|x|)^{d+\alpha}}|x|^{\alpha_0},
\end{aligned}$$

also thanks to (1.3). Therefore,

$$\int\Big(\phi(x+z)-\phi(x)-\langle\nabla\phi(x),z\rangle 1_{\{|z|\leq 1\}}\Big)\frac{\mathrm{e}^{V(x)-V(x+z)}}{|z|^{d+\alpha}}\,\mathrm{d}z\leq-\frac{c_3}{3}\frac{\mathrm{e}^{V(x)}}{(1+|x|)^{d+\alpha}}\phi(x)\tag{2.6}$$

holds for large enough $|x|$.

Finally, according to (1.3), we find that for $|x|$ large enough

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\{|z|\leq 1\}}\langle\nabla\phi(x),z\rangle\Big(\mathrm{e}^{V(x)-V(x+z)}-1\Big)\frac{\mathrm{d}z}{|z|^{d+\alpha}}\\
&=\left|\mathrm{e}^{V(x)}\int_{\{|z|\leq 1\}}\langle\nabla\phi(x),z\rangle\Big(\mathrm{e}^{-V(x+z)}-\mathrm{e}^{-V(x)}\Big)\frac{\mathrm{d}z}{|z|^{d+\alpha}}\right|\\
&\leq\alpha_0\Big(\int_{\{|z|\leq 1\}}\frac{\mathrm{d}z}{|z|^{d+\alpha-2}}\Big)\mathrm{e}^{V(x)}|x|^{\alpha_0-1}\Big(\sup_{|z|\geq|x|-1}|\nabla e^{-V}(z)|\Big)\\
&\leq\frac{c_3}{6}\frac{\mathrm{e}^{V(x)}}{(1+|x|)^{d+\alpha}}\phi(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (2.5) and (2.6), and using the expression of $L_{\alpha,V}$ in Proposition 2.2, we conclude that

$$L_{\alpha,V}\phi(x)\leq-\frac{c_3}{8}\frac{\mathrm{e}^{V(x)}}{(1+|x|)^{d+\alpha}}\phi(x)\leq-\frac{c_3}{8}\Phi(|x|)\phi(x)$$

holds for large enough $|x|$. □

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In the spirit of [4, Theorem 2.10], to derive functional inequalities using the Lyapunov condition confirmed in Proposition 2.3, we need only to verify the corresponding local inequality. So, we first present two lemmas concerning the local super Poincaré inequality and the local Poincaré inequality.

Lemma 3.1. *There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for any s, $r > 0$ and any $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$,*

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B(0,r)} f(x)^2 \mathrm{e}^{-V(x)} \mathrm{d}x \le & s \int_{B(0,r)\times B(0,r)} \frac{(f(y)-f(x))^2}{|y-x|^{d+\alpha}} \,\mathrm{d}y \mathrm{e}^{-V(x)}\,\mathrm{d}x \\ & + \frac{cH(r)^{2+d/\alpha}}{h(r)^{1+d/\alpha}} \big(1+s^{-d/\alpha}\big) \bigg(\int_{B(0,r)} |f|(x) \mathrm{e}^{-V(x)} \mathrm{d}x \bigg)^2 .\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Note that the Sobolev inequality of dimension $2d/\alpha$ for fractional Laplacians holds uniformly on balls, e.g. see [6, Section 2]. Then, according to [25, Corollary 3.3.4] (see also [21, Theorem 4.5]), there exists a constant $c_1 > 0$ such that

$$\int_{B(0,r)} f^2(x)\,\mathrm{d}x \le s \int_{B(0,r)\times B(0,r)} \frac{(f(y)-f(x))^2}{|y-x|^{d+\alpha}}\,\mathrm{d}y\,\mathrm{d}x + c_1\big(1+s^{-d/\alpha}\big)\bigg(\int_{B(0,r)} |f(x)|\,\mathrm{d}x\bigg)^2$$

holds for all $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and all $s, r > 0$. Therefore, for any $r, s > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B(0,r)} f^2(x)\mathrm{e}^{-V(x)}\,\mathrm{d}x &\le \frac{1}{h(r)} \int_{B(0,r)} f^2(x)\,\mathrm{d}x \\ &\le \frac{1}{h(r)} \bigg\{ s \int_{B(0,r)\times B(0,r)} \frac{(f(y)-f(x))^2}{|y-x|^{d+\alpha}}\,\mathrm{d}y\,\mathrm{d}x \\ &\qquad + c_1\big(1+s^{-d/\alpha}\big)\bigg(\int_{B(0,r)} |f(x)|\,\mathrm{d}x\bigg)^2 \bigg\} \\ &\le \frac{sH(r)}{h(r)} \int_{B(0,r)\times B(0,r)} \frac{(f(y)-f(x))^2}{|y-x|^{d+\alpha}}\,\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{e}^{-V(x)}\,\mathrm{d}x \\ &\quad + \frac{c_1(1+s^{-d/\alpha})H^2(r)}{h(r)} \bigg(\int_{B(0,r)} |f(x)|\mathrm{e}^{-V(x)}\,\mathrm{d}x\bigg)^2 .\end{aligned}$$

This implies the desired assertion by replacing s with $sh(r)H(r)^{-1}$. □

Lemma 3.2. *For any $r > 0$ and $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$,*

$$\mu_V(f^2 1_{B(0,r)}) \le \Psi_2(r)\mathscr{E}_{\alpha,V}(f,f) + \frac{\mu_V(f1_{B(0,r)})^2}{\mu_V(B(0,r))}. \tag{3.1}$$

Consequently, the weak Poincaré inequality (1.6) *holds for*

$$\tilde\beta(r) := \inf\Big\{\Psi_2(R):\ \mu_V(B(0,R)^c) \le \frac{r}{1+r}\Big\} < \infty, \quad r > 0. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B(0,r)} \Big(f(x) - \frac{1}{\mu_V(B(0,r))}\int_{B(0,r)} f(x)\,\mu_V(\mathrm{d}x)\Big)^2 \mu_V(\mathrm{d}x)\\
&= \int_{B(0,r)} \Big(\frac{1}{\mu_V(B(0,r))}\int_{B(0,r)} (f(x)-f(y))\,\mu_V(\mathrm{d}y)\Big)^2 \mu_V(\mathrm{d}x)\\
&\le \frac{1}{\mu_V(B(0,r))^2}\int_{B(0,r)} \Big(\int_{B(0,r)} (f(x)-f(y))^2 \frac{e^{V(y)}}{|y-x|^{d+\alpha}}\,\mu_V(\mathrm{d}y)\Big)\\
&\qquad\qquad \times \Big(\int_{B(0,r)} \frac{|y-x|^{d+\alpha}}{e^{V(y)}}\mu_V(\mathrm{d}y)\Big)\,\mu_V(\mathrm{d}x)\\
&\le \Psi_2(r)\int_{B(0,r)\times B(0,r)} \frac{(f(x)-f(y))^2}{|x-y|^{d+\alpha}}\,\mathrm{d}y\mu_V(\mathrm{d}x).
\end{aligned}$$

So, the inequality (3.1) holds, which implies the desired weak Poincaré inequality according to [15, Theorem 3.1] or [25, Theorem 4.3.1]. □

Since $\mu_V(\mathbb{R}^d) < \infty$, most likely we have $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathrm{e}^{-2V(y)}\mathrm{d}y < \infty$, so that $\Psi_2(R) \le c_1 R^{d+\alpha}$ holds for some constant $c_1 > 0$ and all $R \ge 1$. In this case there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that $\tilde\beta$ in (3.2) satisfies

$$\tilde\beta(r) \le c + c\inf\Big\{R^{d+\alpha}:\ \mu_V(B(0,R)^c) \le \frac{r}{1+r}\Big\} < \infty,\quad r>0.$$

In many cases this $\tilde\beta$ is however not sharp, for instance, in the proofs of Corollaries 1.2 -1.4 we will use Ψ_1 rather than Ψ_2 in Theorem 1.1(3) to derive sharp estimates on $\tilde\beta$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. With Proposition 2.3 and Lemma 3.1 in hand, the proof is now similar to that of [4, Theorem 2.10]. First, according to Proposition 2.3, we have

$$1_{B(0,r)^c} \le \frac{1}{C_1\Phi(r)}\frac{-L_{\alpha,V}\phi}{\phi} + \frac{C_2}{C_1\Phi(r)}1_{B(0,r_0)},\quad r\ge r_0.$$

Then, for any $f\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\mu_V(f^2 1_{B(0,r)^c}) \le \frac{1}{C_1\Phi(r)}\mu_V\Big(f^2\frac{-L_{\alpha,V}\phi}{\phi}\Big) + \frac{C_2}{C_1\Phi(r)}\mu_V(f^2 1_{B(0,r_0)}). \tag{3.3}$$

By Proposition 2.2 and the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big(\frac{f^2(x)}{\phi(x)} - \frac{f^2(y)}{\phi(y)}\Big)(\phi(x)-\phi(y)) &= f^2(x)+f^2(y) - \Big(\frac{\phi(y)}{\phi(x)}f^2(x) + \frac{\phi(x)}{\phi(y)}f^2(y)\Big)\\
&\le f^2(x)+f^2(y) - 2|f(x)f(y)|\\
&\le (f(x)-f(y))^2,
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\mu_V\big(f^2\frac{-L_{\alpha,V}\phi}{\phi}\big)\le \mathscr{E}_{\alpha,V}(f,f).$$

Therefore, (3.3) implies

$$\mu_V(f^2 1_{B(0,r)^c})\le \frac{1}{C_1\Phi(r)}\mathscr{E}_{\alpha,V}(f,f)+\frac{C_2}{C_1\Phi(r)}\mu_V(f^2 1_{B(0,r_0)}),\quad r\ge r_0. \tag{3.4}$$

We are now to prove (1) and (2) in Theorem 1.1 respectively.

(1) According to [21, Theorem 4.5 and Theorem 3.2], the local super Poincaré inequality in Lemma 3.1 implies that the associated Markov semigroup on $B(0,r)$ has a uniformly bounded density, and hence the spectrum of the associated generator is discrete. Moreover, it is easy to see that the Dirichlet form on $B(0,r)$ is irreducible so that 0 is a simple eigenvalue of the generator, we conclude that the spectral gap exists. Equivalently, for any $r>0$ there exists a constant $C(r)>0$ such that the local Poincaré inequality

$$\mu_V(f^2 1_{B(0,r)})\le C(r)\int_{B(0,r)\times B(0,r)}\frac{(f(y)-f(x))^2}{|y-x|^{d+\alpha}}\,\mathrm{d}y\mu_V(\mathrm{d}x) \tag{3.5}$$

holds for all $f\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\mu_V(f1_{B(0,r)})=0$. This, together with (3.4) implies the defective Poincaré inequality

$$\mu_V(f^2)\le c_1\mathscr{E}_{\alpha,V}(f,f)+c_2\mu_V(|f|)^2$$

for some constants $c_1,c_2>0$; and due to [15, Theorem 3.1], (3.5) also implies the weak Poincaré inequality of $\mathscr{E}_{\alpha,V}$. According to [15, Proposition 1.3], these two inequalities then imply the desired Poincaré inequality.

(2) Now, assume that $\Phi(r)\uparrow\infty$ as $r\uparrow\infty$. By Lemma 3.1, there exists a constant $c>0$ such that

$$\mu_V(f^2 1_{B(0,r)})\le s\mathscr{E}_{\alpha,V}(f,f)+\beta(r,s)\mu_V(|f|)^2,\quad s,r>0, f\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

holds for

$$\beta(r,s):=\frac{cH(r)^{2+d/\alpha}}{h(r)^{1+d/\alpha}}\big(1+s^{-d/\alpha}\big).$$

Combining this with (3.4) and (3.5) with $r=r_0$, we may find a constant $c_0>0$ such that, for any $r\ge r_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_V(f^2)&=\mu_V(f^2 1_{B(0,r)})+\mu_V(f^2 1_{B(0,r)^c})\\&\le\Big(s+\frac{c_0}{\Phi(r)}\Big)\mathscr{E}_{\alpha,V}(f,f)+\big(c_0+\beta(r,s)\big)\mu_V(|f|)^2,\quad s>0, f\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d).\end{aligned}$$

Letting $s_0=c_0/\Phi(r_0)$ and taking $r=\Phi^{-1}(c_0/s)$, which is larger than r_0 if $s\in(0,s_0)$, we obtain

$$\mu_V(f^2)\le 2s\mathscr{E}_{\alpha,V}(f,f)+\big\{c_0+\beta(\Phi^{-1}(c_0/s),s)\big\}\mu_V(|f|)^2,\quad s\in(0,s_0), f\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Replacing s by $s/2$, we

$$\mu_V(f^2) \le s\mathscr{E}_{\alpha,V}(f,f) + \big\{c_0 + \beta(\Phi^{-1}(2c_0/s), s/2)\big\}\mu_V(|f|)^2, \quad s \in (0, 2s_0), f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Noting that

$$\beta(\Phi^{-1}(2c_0/s), s/2) = \frac{c\big\{H \circ \Phi^{-1}(2c_0/s)\big\}^{2+d/\alpha}}{\big\{h \circ \Phi^{-1}(2c_0/s)\big\}^{1+d/\alpha}}\big(1 + 2^{d/\alpha}s^{-d/\alpha}\big),$$

this implies the super Poincaré inequality with the desired β for some constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ and all $s \in (0, 2s_0)$. Then the inequality holds also for $s \ge 2s_0$ with a possibly large constant c_1 by taking $\beta(s) = \beta(2s_0)$ for $s \ge 2s_0$.

(3) Let $V_0(x) = \frac{d+\alpha}{2}\log(1 + |x|^2)$. Then Theorem 1.1(1) implies that the Poincaré inequality

$$\mu_{V_0}(f^2) \le C\mu_{V_0}(\Gamma(f,f)), \quad f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d), \mu_{V_0}(f) = 0 \tag{3.6}$$

holds for some constant $C > 0$, where

$$\Gamma(f,f)(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|^2}{|x - y|^{d+\alpha}}\,\mathrm{d}y, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

For any $R > 0$ and any $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, it follows from (3.6) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B(0,R)} \left(f(x) - \frac{1}{\mu_V(B(0,R))}\int_{B(0,R)} f(x)\,\mu_V(\mathrm{d}x)\right)^2 \mu_V(\mathrm{d}x)\\
&= \inf_{a\in\mathbb{R}} \int_{B(0,R)} \Big(f(x) - a\Big)^2 \mathrm{e}^{-V(x)}\mathrm{d}x\\
&\le \int_{B(0,R)} \Big(f(x) - \mu_{V_0}(f)\Big)^2 \mathrm{e}^{-V(x)}\mathrm{d}x\\
&\le \left(\sup_{|x|\le R} \frac{(1+|x|^2)^{(d+\alpha)/2}}{e^{V(x)}}\right)\int_{B(0,R)} \Big(f(x) - \mu_{V_0}(f)\Big)^2 \mathrm{e}^{-V_0(x)}\mathrm{d}x\\
&\le C\left(\sup_{|x|\le R} \frac{(1+|x|^2)^{(d+\alpha)/2}}{e^{V(x)}}\right)\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Gamma(f,f)(x)\mathrm{e}^{-V_0(x)}\mathrm{d}x\\
&\le c\Psi_1(R)\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Gamma(f,f)(x)\mathrm{e}^{-V(x)}\mathrm{d}x.
\end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\mu_V(f^2 1_{B(0,R)}) \le c\Psi_1(R)\mathscr{E}_{\alpha,V}(f,f) + \frac{\mu_V(f1_{B(0,R)})^2}{\mu_V(B(0,R))}.$$

Combining this with Lemma 3.2 we obtain

$$\mu_V(f^2 1_{B(0,R)}) \le \big\{\big(c\Psi_1(R)\big) \wedge \Psi_2(R)\big\}\mathscr{E}_{\alpha,V}(f,f) + \frac{\mu_V(f1_{B(0,R)})^2}{\mu_V(B(0,R))}.$$

The required weak Poincaré inequality then follows from [15, Theorem 3.1] or [25, Theorem 4.3.1]. □

4 Proofs of Corollaries

In all these Corollaries, the sufficiency for the Poincaré/super Poincaré/weak Poincaré inequalities will be confirmed by Theorem 1.1. To verify the necessary, we will make use of the reference functions $g_n \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d), n \geq 1$, such that $|\nabla g_n| \leq 2/n$ and

$$g_n(x) \begin{cases} = 0, & \text{if } |x| \leq n, \\ \in [0,1], & \text{if } |x| \in [n, 2n], \\ = 1, & \text{if } |x| \geq 2n. \end{cases}$$

Then there exists a constant $c > 0$ independent of n such that

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(g_n, g_n)(x) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|g_n(y) - g_n(x)|^2}{|x-y|^{d+\alpha}} \, \mathrm{d}y \\ &\leq \frac{4}{n^2} \int_{|x-y| \leq n} \frac{1}{|y-x|^{d+\alpha-2}} \, \mathrm{d}y + \int_{|x-y| \geq n} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{d+\alpha}} \, \mathrm{d}y \\ &\leq \frac{c}{n^\alpha}, \quad n \geq 1. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Proof of Corollary 1.2. Obviously, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, the function

$$V(x) := \frac{d+\varepsilon}{2} \log(1 + |x|^2), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

satisfies condition (1.3).

(1) If $\varepsilon \geq \alpha$, we have $\Phi(0) > 0$, so that the Poincaré inequality follows from Theorem 1.1(1). To disprove the Poincaré inequality for $\varepsilon \in (0, \alpha)$, let us take the reference function g_n introduced above. Obviously,

$$\mu_V(g_n)^2 \geq \frac{c_1}{n^\varepsilon}, \quad \mu_V(g_n)^2 \leq \frac{c_2}{n^{2\varepsilon}}, \quad n \geq 1$$

hold for some constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$. Combining this with (4.1) we see that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mathscr{E}_{\alpha,V}(g_n, g_n)}{\mu_V(g_n)^2 - \mu_V(g_n)^2} \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{cn^{-\alpha}}{c_1 n^{-\varepsilon} - c_2 n^{-2\varepsilon}} = 0$$

provided $\varepsilon \in (0, \alpha)$. Thus, for any constant $C > 0$, the Poincaré inequality (1.4) does not hold.

(2) We first prove that if $\varepsilon \leq \alpha$, then for any $\beta : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ the super Poincaré inequality (1.5) does not hold. Indeed, if this inequality holds, then

$$\frac{c_1}{n^\varepsilon} \leq \frac{cr}{n^\alpha} + \frac{c_2 \beta(r)}{n^{2\varepsilon}}, \quad r > 0, n \geq 1$$

holds for some constants $c, c_1, c_2 > 0$. Since $\varepsilon \in (0, \alpha]$, we obtain

$$c_1 \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{cr}{n^{\alpha-\varepsilon}} + \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{c_2 \beta(r)}{n^\varepsilon} \leq cr, \quad r > 0.$$

Letting $r \to 0$ we conclude that $c_1 \leq 0$, which is however impossible.

Next, let $\varepsilon > \alpha$, we aim to confirm the super Poincaré inequality with the desired function $\beta(r)$. It is easy to see that

$$h(r) = 1, \quad H(r) = (1 + r^2)^{(d+\varepsilon)/2}, \quad r > 0$$

and $\Phi(r) \geq c_3 r^{\varepsilon - \alpha}$ for r large so that

$$\Phi^{-1}(c_2 r^{-1}) \leq c_4 r^{-1/(\varepsilon-\alpha)} \quad \text{for } r > 0 \text{ small.}$$

Hence, the function β given in Theorem 1.1 (2) satisfies

$$\beta(r) \leq c\Big(1 + r^{-\frac{d}{\alpha} - \frac{(d+\varepsilon)(2\alpha+d)}{\alpha(\varepsilon-\alpha)}}\Big), \quad r > 0$$

for some constant $c > 0$. The equivalence of the concrete super Poincaré inequality and the correspondinf bound of $\|P_t^{\alpha,V}\|_{L^1(\mu_V)\to L^2(\mu_V)}$ then follows from [21, Theorem 4.5(2)] (see also [25, Theorem 3.3.15(2)]).

(3) It is easy to see that $\Psi_1(R) = \mathrm{O}(R^{\alpha-\varepsilon})$ for large R. Then the desired weak Poincaré inequality follows from Theorem 1.1(3). According to [15, Corollary 2.4(2)] (see also [25, Theorem 4.1.5(2)]), we have the claimed bound of $\|P_t^{\alpha,V} - \mu_V\|^2_{L^\infty(\mu_V)\to L^2(\mu_V)}$. On the other hand, for g_n presented in the beginning of this section, we have $\|g_n\|_\infty \leq 1$, $\mu_V(g_n^2) - \mu_V(g_n)^2 \geq c_1 n^{-\varepsilon}$ for some constant $c_1 > 0$, and due to (4.1), $\mathscr{E}_{\alpha,V}(g_n, g_n) \leq c n^{-\alpha}$. Then (1.6) implies that

$$\frac{c}{n^\alpha}\tilde{\beta}(r) \geq \frac{c_1}{n^\varepsilon} - r, \quad r > 0.$$

Taking $r_n = \frac{c_1}{2n^\varepsilon}$ which goes to zero as $n \to \infty$, we obtain

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} r_n^{(\alpha-\varepsilon)/\varepsilon}\tilde{\beta}(r_n) > 0.$$

Thus, (1.6) does not hold if $\lim_{r\to 0} r^{(\alpha-\varepsilon)/\varepsilon}\tilde{\beta}(r) = 0$. $\square$

Proof of Corollary 1.3. Since when $\varepsilon > 0$ we have $\Phi(0) > 0$, the Poincaré inequality holds due to Theorem 1.1(1). According to e.g. [21, Corollary 1.3(1)], the super Poincaré inequality with $\beta(r) = \exp\big(c(1 + r^{-1})\big)$ for some constant $c > 0$ is equivalent to the log-Sobolev inequality (1.7) for some constant $C > 0$, we conclude that (1) and (2) imply (3). So, it suffices to prove (1), (2) and (4).

(1) As in the proof of Corollary 1.2(2), when $\varepsilon \leq 0$ the super Poincaré inequality does not hold. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. We have

$$\mathrm{e}^{-V(x)} = \frac{1}{(1 + |x|^2)^{(d+\alpha)/2}\log^\varepsilon(\mathrm{e} + |x|^2)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Then it is easy to see that

$$h(r) = 1, \quad H(r) = (1 + r^2)^{(d+\alpha)/2}\log^\varepsilon(\mathrm{e} + r^2)$$

and

$$\Phi(r) \geq c_0 \log^{\varepsilon}(1+r^2), \quad r>0$$

holds for some constant $c_0>0$. So, there exists a constant $c_3>0$ such that

$$\Phi^{-1}(c_2 r^{-1}) \leq \exp[c_3 r^{-1/\varepsilon}], \quad r>0,$$

and hence, the function β given in Theorem 1.1(2) satisfies

$$\beta(r) \leq \exp[c(1+r^{-1/\varepsilon})], \quad r>0$$

for some constant $c>0$. When $\varepsilon>1$, the equivalence of the concrete super Poincaré inequality and the corresponding bound of $\|P_t^{\alpha,V}\|_{L^1(\mu_V)\to L^2(\mu_V)}$ then follows from [21, Theorem 4.5(1)] (see also [25, Theorem 3.3.15(1)]).

(2) It is easy to see that

$$\mu_V(g_n^2) \geq \frac{c_1}{n^{\alpha}\log^{\varepsilon}(\mathrm{e}+n)}, \quad \mu_V(|g_n|)^2 \leq \frac{c_2}{n^{2\alpha}\log^{2\varepsilon}(\mathrm{e}+n)}, \quad n\geq 1$$

hold for some constants $c_1, c_2>0$. Combining this with (4.1) and (1.5), we obtain

$$\frac{c_1}{\log^{\varepsilon}(\mathrm{e}+n)} \leq cr + \frac{c_2\beta(r)}{n^{\alpha}\log^{2\varepsilon}(\mathrm{e}+n)}, \quad r>0.$$

Taking $r_n = \frac{c_1}{2c}\log^{-\varepsilon}(\mathrm{e}+n)$, we derive

$$\beta(r_n) \geq \frac{c_1}{2} n^{\alpha}\log^{\varepsilon}(\mathrm{e}+n), \quad n\geq 1.$$

Therefore,

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} r_n^{1/\varepsilon}\log\beta(r_n) \geq \alpha > 0.$$

Thus, the proof of (2) is done.

(4) Let $\varepsilon<0$. Then there exist constants $C, c>0$ such that

$$\Psi_1(R) \leq C\log^{-\varepsilon}(\mathrm{e}+R), \quad \mu_V(B(0,R)^c) \leq cR^{-\alpha}\log^{\varepsilon}(\mathrm{e}+R), \quad R>0.$$

So, the desired weak Poincaré inequality follows from Theorem 1.1(3), and the corresponding convergence rate of $\|P_t^{\alpha,V}-\mu_V\|_{L^\infty(\mu_V)\to L^2(\mu_V)}$ follows from [15, Corollary 2.4(1)]. Finally, the sharpness of $\tilde{\beta}$ can be easily verified using reference functions $g_n, n\geq 1$. □

Proof of Corollary 1.4. There exist constants $C, c>0$ such that

$$\Psi_1(R) \leq \frac{CR^{\alpha}}{\log^{\varepsilon}(e+R)}, \quad \mu_V(B(0,R)^c) \leq c\Big(\log(e+R)\Big)^{-(\varepsilon-1)}, \quad R>0.$$

So, the desired weak Poincaré inequality follows from Theorem 1.1(3) and the corresponding convergence rate of $\|P_t^{\alpha,V}-\mu_V\|_{L^\infty(\mu_V)\to L^2(\mu_V)}$ follows from [15, Corollary 2.4(3)]. Similar to the part (4) in the proof of Corollary 1.3, the sharpness of $\tilde{\beta}$ can be easily verified using reference functions $g_n, n\geq 1$. □

Proof of Corollary 1.5. For the super Poincaré inequality with desired β, we need to prove for small $r > 0$, since we may always take β to be deceasing in the super Poincaré inequality.

(1) Since

$$\mathrm{e}^{V(x)} = \exp\big[\log^{1+\varepsilon}(1+|x|^2)\big],$$

it is easy to see that $h(r) = 1,\ H(r) = \exp\big[\log^{1+\varepsilon}(1+r^2)\big]$ and

$$\Phi(r) = \frac{H(r)}{(1+r)^{d+\alpha}} \ge \exp\Big[\frac{1}{2}\log^{1+\varepsilon}(1+r)\Big], \quad r \ge r_0$$

holds for some constant $r_0 > 0$. So,

$$H\circ\Phi^{-1}(c_2r^{-1}) = \big\{\Phi\circ\Phi^{-1}(c_2r^{-1})\big\}\cdot\big\{1+\Phi^{-1}(c_2r^{-1})\big\}^{d+\alpha} \le cr^{-1}\exp\big[c\log^{1/(1+\varepsilon)} r^{-1}\big]$$

holds for some constant $c > 0$ and small $r > 0$. Then (1.5) with the desired β for small $r > 0$ follows from Theorem 1.1(2), and the corresponding bound of $\|P_t^{\alpha,V}\|_{L^1(\mu_V)\to L^\infty(\mu_V)}$ then follows from e.g. [21, Theorem 4.4].

(2) Since $\mathrm{e}^{V(x)} = \exp[(1+|x|^2)^\varepsilon]$, it is easy to see that $h(r) = 1,\ H(r) = \exp\big[(1+r^2)^\varepsilon\big]$ and

$$\Phi(r) = \frac{\exp[(1+r^2)^\varepsilon]}{1+r^{d+\alpha}}, \quad r \ge r_0$$

holds for some constant $r_0 > 0$. Then there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for small enough $r > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} H\circ\Phi^{-1}(c_2r^{-1}) &= \Big\{\Phi\circ\Phi^{-1}(c_2r^{-1})\Big\}\cdot\Big\{1+\Phi^{-1}(c_2r^{-1})\Big\}^{d+\alpha} \\ &= c_2r^{-1}\Big(\Phi^{-1}(c_2r^{-1})^{d+\alpha}\Big) \\ &\le cr^{-1}\log^{(d+\alpha)/(2\varepsilon)}(1+r^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the super Poincaré inequality with the desired $\beta(r)$ for small enough $r > 0$ follows from Theorem 1.1(2), and the corresponding bound of $\|P_t^{\alpha,V}\|_{L^1(\mu_V)\to L^\infty(\mu_V)}$ then follows from [21, Theorem 4.4]. □

5 Super Poincaré inequalities implied by (1.8)

This section aims to establish the super Poincaré inequality using condition (1.8), so that the assertion in [13] for the Poincaré inequality is strengthened. As already indicated in Section 1 that the resulting super Poincaré inequality is normally worse than that presented in Theorem 1.1.

For fixed $V \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that μ_V is a probability measure, let h, H be as in Theorem 1.1, and let

$$W_\delta(r) = \inf_{|x|\ge r}\Big(\delta|\nabla V(x)|^2 - \Delta V(x)\Big), \quad r > 0.$$

Theorem 5.1. *Let $V \geq 0$. If there exists a constant $\delta \in (0,1)$ such that*

$$\lim_{|x|\to\infty} \left\{\delta|\nabla V|^2 - \Delta V\right\} = \infty,$$

Then there exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that the super Poincaré inequality (1.5) *holds for*

$$\beta(r) = c_1\bigg(1 + r^{-d/\alpha} H^{2+d/2}\Big(W_\delta^{-1}\big(c_2 r^{-2/\alpha}\big)\Big) h^{-(1+d/2)}\Big(W_\delta^{-1}\big(c_2 r^{-2/\alpha}\big)\Big)\bigg), \quad r > 0.$$

In particular, if $V(x) = (1+|x|^2)^\varepsilon$ for $\varepsilon > \frac{1}{2}$, then there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that the super Poincaré inequality holds for

$$\beta(r) = \exp\Big(c(1 + r^{-2\varepsilon/(\alpha(2\varepsilon-1))})\Big), \quad r > 0.$$

Proof. We only prove the first assertion, since the second one is a simple consequence. Let

$$L_V f = \Delta f - \langle \nabla V, \nabla f\rangle, \quad f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Then

$$\mathscr{E}_V(f,g) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \nabla f, \nabla g\rangle \, \mathrm{d}\mu_V = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f L_V g \, \mathrm{d}\mu_V, \quad f, g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Hence, the Friedrichs extension $(L_V, \mathscr{D}(L_V))$ of $(L_V, C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$ in $L^2(\mu_V)$ is a negatively definite self-adjoint operator. Let $(-L_V)^{\alpha/2}$ be the associated fractional operator. Let $\varphi = \mathrm{e}^{(1-\delta)V}$. We have

$$\frac{L_V \varphi}{\varphi} = -(1-\delta)\Big(\delta|\nabla V|^2 - \Delta V\Big).$$

Then, by the assumption on V and [4, Theorem 2.10], there exist constants $c_3, c_4 > 0$ such that the super Poincaré inequality

$$\mu_V(f^2) \leq r\mathscr{E}_V(f,f) + \beta_V(r)\mu(|f|)^2, \quad r > 0, f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d), \mu(f) = 0$$

holds for

$$\beta_V(r) := c_3\bigg(1 + r^{-d/2} H^{2+d/2}\Big(W^{-1}\big(c_4 r^{-1}\big)\Big) h^{-(1+d/2)}\Big(W^{-1}\big(c_4 r^{-1}\big)\Big)\bigg), \quad r > 0.$$

According to [24, Corollary 2.1] or the proof of [19, Proposition 9], this implies

$$\mu_V(f^2) \leq r\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(-L_V)^{\alpha/2} f \, \mathrm{d}\mu_V + \frac{8}{\alpha}\beta_V\Big((r/4)^{2/\alpha}\Big)\mu_V(|f|)^2, \quad r > 0 \tag{5.1}$$

for all $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\mu_V(f) = 0$. A close inspection of the arguments in [13, Section 3] (see [17, Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3] for details) yields that there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\mu_V(f) = 0$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(-L_V)^{\alpha/2} f \, \mathrm{d}\mu_V \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{(f(y) - f(x))^2}{|y-x|^{d+\alpha}} \, \mathrm{d}y \, \mu_V(\mathrm{d}x) = C\mathscr{E}_{\alpha,V}(f,f).$$

Combining this with (5.1), we obtain

$$\mu_V(f^2) \le r\mathscr{E}_{\alpha,V}(f,f) + \frac{8}{\alpha}\beta_V\Big(\Big(\frac{r}{4C}\Big)^{2/\alpha}\Big)\mu_V(|f|)^2, \quad r > 0, f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d), \mu_V(f) = 0.$$

Then the desired assertion follows immediately. □

Similarly, combining the proof above with [15, Theorem 3.1] and [24, Corollary 2.2] (or the proof of [19, Proposition 9]), we have the following result for weak Poincaré inequalities for stable-like Dirichlet forms, which is normally less sharp than that given in Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 5.2. *For any $V \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that μ_V is a probability measure, there exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that the weak Poincaré inequality (1.6) holds for*

$$\widetilde{\beta}(r) = c_1\, U(c_2 r^{\alpha/2})^2 \exp\Big(2\delta_{U(c_2 r^{\alpha/2})}(V)\Big), \quad r > 0,$$

where

$$U(r) = \inf\Big\{s > 0 : \int_{|x|>s} e^{-V(x)}\, dx \le r/(1+r)\Big\} \text{ and } \delta_r(V) = \sup_{|x|\le r} V(x).$$

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Dr. Xin Chen and Professors René L. Schilling and Renming Song for helpful comments on earlier versions of the paper.

References

[1] S. Albeverio, B. Rudiger, J.-L. Wu, *Invariant measures and symmetry property of Lévy type operator,* Pot. Anal. 13(2000), 147–168.

[2] A. Bendikov, P. Maheux, *Nash type inequalities for fractional powers of non-negative self-adjoint operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 359(2007), 3085–3097.

[3] R.M. Blumenthal, R.K. Getoor, *Some theorems on stable processes*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 95(1960), 263–273.

[4] P. Cattiaux, A. Guillin, F.-Y. Wang, L. Wu, *Lyapunov conditions for Super Poincaré inequalties,* J. Funct. Anal. 256(2009), 1821–1841.

[5] Z.-Q. Chen, *Symmetric jump processes and their heat kernel estimates,* Sci. China Ser. A 52(2009), 1423–1445.

[6] Z.-Q. Chen, T. Kumagai, *Heat kernel estimates for stable-like processes on d-sets,* Stoch. Proc. Appl. 108(2003), 27–62.

[7] E. B. Davies, *Heat Kernels and Spectral Theory,* Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1989.

[8] E. B. Davies, B. Simon, *Ultracontractivity and heat kernel for Schrödinger operator and Dirichlet Laplacians,* J. Funct. Anal. 59(1984), 335–395.

[9] F.-Z. Gong, F.-Y. Wang, *Functional inequalities for uniformly integrable semigroups and application to essential spectrums,* Forum Math. 14(2002), 293–313.

[10] I. Gentil, P. Maheux, *Nash-type inequalities, super-Poincaré inequalities for subordinated semigroups,* preprint, see arXiv:1105.3095

[11] L. Gross, *Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities,* Amer. J. Math. 97(1975), 1061–1083.

[12] P. Lescot, M. Röckner, *Perturbations of generalized Mehler semigroups and applications to stochastic heat equations with Lévy noise and singular drift,* Pot. Anal. 20(2003), 317–344.

[13] C. Mouhot, E. Russ, Y. Sire, *Fractional Poincaré inequalities for general measures,* J. Math. Pures Appl. 95(2011), 72–84.

[14] E. Nelson, *The free Markov filed,* J. Funct. Anal. 12(1973), 211–227.

[15] M. Röckner, F.-Y. Wang, *Weak Poincaré inequalities and L^2-convergence rates of Markov semigroups,* J. Funct. Anal. 185(2001), 564–603.

[16] M. Röckner, F.-Y. Wang, *Harnack and functional inequalities for generalized Mehler semigroups,* J. Funct. Anal. 203(2003), 237–261.

[17] E. Russ, Y. Sire, *Nonlocal Poincaré inequalities on Lie groups with polynomial volue growth and Riemannian manifolds,* Stud. Math. 203(2011), 105–127.

[18] K. Sato, *Lévy processes and Infinitely Divisible Distributions*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999.

[19] R. L. Schilling, J. Wang, *Functional inequalities and subordination: stability of Nash and Poincaré inequalities,* to appear in Math. Zeit., also see arXiv:1105.3082

[20] F.-Y. Wang, *Functional inequalities for empty essential spectrum,* J. Funct. Anal. 170(2000), 219–245.

[21] F.-Y. Wang, *Functional inequalities, semigroup properties and spectrum estimates,* Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quant. Probab. Relat. Topics 3(2000), 263–295.

[22] F.-Y. Wang, *Functional inequalities for the decay of sub-Markov semigroups,* Pot. Anal. 18(2003), 1–23.

[23] F.-Y. Wang, *Functional inequalities on abstract Hilbert spaces and applications,* Math. Zeit. 246(2004), 359–371.

[24] F.-Y. Wang, *Functional inequalites for Dirichlet forms with fractional powers,* Chinese Sci. Tech. Online 2(2007), 1–4.

[25] F.-Y. Wang, *Functional Inequalities, Markov Processes and Spectral Theory*, Science Press, Beijing, 2005.